

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Vignia 22313-1450 www.upto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/253,611	02/19/1999	PAUL A. FARRAR	303.572US1	5827
7	590 06/30/2003			
THOMAS W LEFFERT			EXAMINER	
SCHWEGMAN LUNDBERG WOESSNER AND KLUTH			POMPEY, RON EVERETT	
P O BOX 2938 MINNEAPOLI	is, MN 55402		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
	,	•	2812	\sim /
			DATE MAILED: 06/30/2003	24

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	\sim
Advisory Action	09/253,611	FARRAR, PAUL A.	1
Advisory Action	Examin r	Art Unit	
	Ron E Pompey	2812	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addre	ss
THE REPLY FILED 03 June 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THI Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to av final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment which (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely	ition. A proper reply to places the application	o a on in
	PLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of ti (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offic timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 Ci	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CFI f extension and the corresponding amount in the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection. IE FINAL REJECTION. So R 1.136(a) and the appropriate of the fee. The appropriginally set in the final Of	ee MPEP riate extension riate extension fice action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	cause:		
(a) X they raise new issues that would require furthe	r consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note be	elow);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simp	olifying the
(d) they present additional claims without canceling	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejecti	on(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would local canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed an	nendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:		dered but does NOT p	place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were r	newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment (explanation of how the new or amended claims wo			d an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: 1, 3-12, 64, 68 and 71.			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8.⊠ The proposed drawing correction filed on is a	a) approved or b) disapp	roved by the Examine	er.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	t(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)		
10. Other:			

Continuation of 2. NOTE: the newly added subject matter of removing the photoresist mask before depositing solder on the metal contact pad", raises new issues and requires additional search.

John F. Niebling
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Technology Center 2800